

Multi-Image Matching via Fast Alternating Minimization Supplementary Material

Xiaowei Zhou, Menglong Zhu, Kostas Daniilidis
GRASP Laboratory, University of Pennsylvania
{xiaowz, menglong, kostas}@seas.upenn.edu

Abstract

This document presents the supplementary material for the ICCV'2015 paper: Multi-Image Matching via Fast Alternating Minimization.

where $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{x}} &= \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|^2 \\ \text{s.t. } \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{1} &= m', \quad \mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{1}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and \mathbf{z} is the vector of diagonal values in \mathbf{Z} .

Solving Step 6 in Algorithm 1

We need to solve the following problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^T + \frac{1}{\mu}(\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{Y})\|_F^2, \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{C} is defined as the set of matrices satisfying:

$$\mathbf{X}_{ii} = \mathbf{I}_{p_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{X}_{ij} = \mathbf{X}_{ji}^T, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j, \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{X} \leq \mathbf{1}, \quad (4)$$

Denoting $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^T + \frac{1}{\mu}(\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{Y})$ by \mathbf{Z} , one can easily verify that each block \mathbf{X}_{ij} can be solved separately and the solution is:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{ij} &= \mathbf{I}_{p_i}, & i = j, \\ \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{ij} &= \min \left(\max \left(\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{Z}_{ij} + \mathbf{Z}_{ji}^T), \mathbf{0} \right), \mathbf{1} \right), & i \neq j, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where min and max denote elementwise minimum and maximum operators, respectively.

In Section 5.4 we replace the constraint in (2) as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}(\mathbf{X}) &= m', \\ \text{off-diagonal values} \{ \mathbf{X}_{ii} \} &= \mathbf{0}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The new constraint doesn't affect the solution for blocks where $i \neq j$. For $i = j$, the solution is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diagonal values} \{ \hat{\mathbf{X}} \} &= \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \\ \text{off-diagonal values} \{ \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{ii} \} &= \mathbf{0}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Time complexity of Algorithm 1

We analyze the computational cost in each step of Algorithm 1. In Step 4, $(\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} + \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \mathbf{I})^\dagger$ requires $O(mk^2)$ and $O(k^3)$ flops for multiplication and inverse, respectively, and the remaining two matrix multiplication steps require $O(mk^2 + m^2k)$ flops. Step 5 has the same complexity with Step 4. In step 6, the dominant cost is to compute $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^T$ which requires $O(m^2k)$ flops. Step 7 takes negligible time since $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^T$ has been computed. The total cost of each iteration is $O(3m^2k + 4mk^2 + 2k^3)$ and approximately $O(m^2k)$ when $m > k$.